

**For the Attention of the Attorney General of the State of California**  
**Sheriff Department of Ventura County, CA**

**FBI**

**In copy Italian Consulate in the State of California**  
**E p.c.S.E. Foreign Minister – Franco Frattini**

**COMPLAINT**

Katia Anedda, born in Wiesbaden (Germany) on the 26 February 1967 (NDDKTA67B66Z112Y), residing in Eboli (SA) Via U. Nobile 14 c/o Avv.ti Franco Cardiello and Costantino Cardiello, of whom she is represented. Acting as General Attorney in virtue of the notarial act no. 247/2008 lodged with the Italian Consulate and the form A 250 General Power of Attorney dated March 20th, 2006 public notary deed drawn by Philip Durante Comm. 1625780 by Mr. Carlo Parlanti, born in Montecatini Terme on 1<sup>st</sup> November 1964 and at this moment detained at the 2<sup>nd</sup> level State Prison of Avenal (CA, U.S.A), declares as follows:

Mr. Carlo Parlanti, an Italian citizen who moved to the United States for work purposes was sentenced to nine years imprisonment for alleged rape.

Mr. Carlo Parlanti was arrested in Germany on the 5th July 2004 following an International arrest warrant issued by the Ventura Court, and after 11 months preventive detention in a European country he was extradited to the USA on 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2005 and went on trial on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2005.

The trial was based entirely on the statement of the alleged victim, Ms. Rebecca White who made use of false evidence which she had previously set up and on stories of facts that never took place, to support the thesis of the Defence some elements already existed at the time of the complaint:

Recordings of the alleged victim with depositions to the police which indicated an element of instability and unreliability in the accusation.

Retraction of the date of the alleged crime (6<sup>th</sup> July according to the first statement, 29<sup>th</sup> June according to the rider later confirmed in court, 12<sup>th</sup> July according to her statement included in the medical certificate drawn up by Dr. Patwosky);

Photographs of the crime scene which show the room as being tidy and with no signs to indicate any kind of violence had taken place there.

These documents were not presented to Germany.

The Ventura county court supplied Interpol and the German police with the Prosecution report Exhibit B, while a more complete one existed, Exhibit C, where the existence of the recordings is mentioned but never presented in Germany.

Following an appeal (petition) by the German lawyer Franzisca Lieb, Germany as shown in Exhibit D requests further evidence from the United States to which they reply that apart from a general report which had already been sent (Exhibit B) they had nothing else..

When the various versions of the event are put together, the following picture of the sequence of events can be attained.

**her head was banged thirty times against a notice-board and then thirty times against the wall**  
the violence was so great that over the following days a loss of hair was evident;

**kicked in the stomach and ribs, slapped several times and punched in the eye;**

**she was suffocated and so was not able to cry out for help**

**she was held in a wrestling grip with the alleged assailant on her back pulling back her head**

**an entire fist was thrust inside her vagina after which the assailant tried to open the fist inside the vagina**

**a hand inside the anus which caused such heavy bleeding that the mattress was soaked in blood**

**her nipples were bitten so hard that it felt as if they had been bitten off so violently that the alleged victim screamed as hard as she could**

**she blacked-out four times**

There are no visible signs of all this violence. The policeman who photographed her in perfect shape 15 days after the incident saw nothing (not even signs of healed bruising, at least to her face). The neighbours did not hear or see anything. The restaurant manager Dana Anderson who came face to face with the victim when she returned her daughter's bag saw no evidence of so much torture, just two days after the events.

Ms. White had already on previous occasions made serious accusations against her ex-husband (violence and attempted murder). At the conclusion of the trial, on the affidavit of the daughter it was requested that the woman be admitted to a psychiatric clinic. Ms. White took drugs, in particular Darvocet, an opioid similar to methadone which if taken with alcohol could seriously harm the mind and affect her personal safety.

At the hearing of the 16th December, the prosecution in fact states as follows:

1. Well, we have people who came in and testified
2. that they saw Ms. White shortly after the 29th walking slow.
3. None of them said they saw any bruising on her face. None of
4. them recalled that, but they do recall her walking very slow.
5. She was not making eye contact. Couple people said she was

6. kind of looking down. Before that, she would say "hi." She
7. would look at them. "How're you doing?" Superficial
8. greetings. But then remember her -- and I think it was Kevin
9. Bunch and Sarah Campbell said, "Yeah, after the 29th, you
10. know, she really wasn't making eye contact with us anymore.
11. Didn't see any bruising, don't really recall any arguments,
12. any loud noise on the 29th coming from their apartment or
13. anywhere around that time, but I remember there was something
14. different in her demeanor." Corroborating evidence.

First doctor (dr. Troy Munchester) who examined her after twenty days did not notice anything (apart from a fractured rib which has been mentioned in the complete report already sent by post) and the patient did not complain of any pain, apart from the rib.

At the time of the examination, all visible signs had disappeared as well as all the pains. It was only two years later, in a letter addressed to that doctor, Dr. Manchester, immediately after the arrest in Germany, Ms White reminds him that the pain in the inguinal area did not go away until after one year: after two years did she feel it necessary to write to this first doctor who had examined her, expressing in the letter things that she had not revealed before: the hand inside her anus, the broken teeth, something that no-one had seen and which had not been mentioned until now, that is in July 2004

None of this evidence of incoherence is given to Germany, who having only a general report which could seem to be consistent with extradition of the Italian citizen, Carlo Parlanti as it does not given any reason to suspect that there are any inconsistencies in the crime which had been reported.

This complaint is made with the intention of prosecution for the crimes that the American Authorities will wish to consider completed by the exposition of the facts narrated, which require precise and immediate investigation. It must be said that there will be other complaints following this one to speed up the enquiries into any perpetration of other crimes, committed before, during and after the trial against Carlo Parlanti for the sole purpose of reaching a sentence of criminal responsibility founded on the distortion of facts.

\_\_\_\_\_ Li \_\_\_\_\_

Signature