

For the Attention of the Oklahoma/Admore District Attorneys
Council/Attorney General

Attorney General of California

Bar Association of Attorney in California
GAO

Oklahoma Board of Medical Licensure & Supervision

In Copy the Italian Consulate in the State of Texas

E p.c.S.E. Foreign Minister – Franco Frattini

COMPLAINT

Katia Anedda, born in Wiesbaden (Germany) on the 26 February 1967 (NDDKTA67B66Z112Y), residing in Eboli (SA) Via U. Nobile 14 c/o Avv.ti Franco Cardiello and Costantino Cardiello, of whom she is represented. Acting as General Attorney in virtue of the notarial act no. 247/2008 lodged with the Italian Consulate and the form A 250 General Power of Attorney dated March 20th, 2006 public notary deed drawn by Philip Durante Comm. 1625780 by Mr. Carlo Parlanti, born in Montecatini Terme on 1st November 1964 and at this moment detained at the 2nd level State Prison of Avenal (CA, U.S.A), declares as follows:

Mr. Carlo Parlanti, an Italian citizen who moved to the United States for work purposes was sentenced to nine years imprisonment for alleged rape.

The trial was based entirely on the statement of the alleged victim, Ms. Rebecca White who made use of false evidence which she had previously set up and on stories of facts that never took place.

The alleged victim gave three different dates for the alleged rape and beating (6th July according to the first statement, 29th June according to the rider later confirmed in court, 12th July according to her statement included in the medical certificate from Victims of Crime Divisions FORM "Victim Compensation and Government Claim Board" drawn up by Dr. Patwosky signed from Ventura DA Counti #000668); that she was not able to say how many times she was raped (three, four or five times); that she continually contradicted herself when reconstructing the events.

When the various versions of the event are put together, the following picture of the sequence of events can be attained.

her head was banged thirty times against a notice-board and then thirty times against the wall
the violence was so great that over the following days a loss of hair was evident;

kicked in the stomach and ribs, slapped several times and punched in the eye;

she was suffocated and so was not able to cry out for help

she was held in a wrestling grip with the alleged assailant on her back pulling back her head

an entire fist was thrust inside her vagina after which the assailant tried to open the fist inside the vagina

a hand inside the anus which caused such heavy bleeding that the mattress was soaked in blood

her nipples were bitten so hard that it felt as if they had been bitten off so violently that the alleged victim screamed as hard as she could

she blacked-out four times

There are no visible signs of all this violence. The policeman who photographed her in perfect shape 15 days after the incident saw nothing (not even signs of healed bruising, at least to her face). The neighbours did not hear or see anything.

Going back to body of Ms. White, it must be said that not even the first doctor who examined her after twenty days did not notice anything (apart from a fractured rib which will be further dealt with in a later section of this document) and the patient did not complain of any pain, apart from the rib.

At the time of the examination, all visible signs had disappeared as well as all the pains. Dr. Manchester draws up a certificate signed by Court of Ventura CA #000658 that states “*skin intact, warm, dry, no visible rash or remarkable lesion*”; “*head atraumatic*” “*back: no redness, no edema, no bruising, no visible deformity*” “*Eyes sclera white and clear*”, dated the 16th August another medical report is made signed by Court of Ventura, CA #000673 and signed by Dr. Bivens where he states “*She does appear to have some slight ecchymosis around the eyes where she was punched*”.

The first time someone appears to have noticed signs of the violence is only after two months from the event, after the same body, the same face had been examined by another doctor at a time much closer to the event,(Dr. Munchester, in California file # 000657/658/659/660) after the same face had been photographed by the police (evidence #2, #3, #4) where nothing was mentioned about any “appearance of ecchymosis” around the eyes. On the other hand Dr. Jeff Bivens from Ardomore Wellness Center in Oklahoma seemed to see some ecchymosis.

At last, after five months, the first signs of violence appear!

In the medical report of Dr. Fore #000666, dated **5th November 2002** he states the existence of a very **black eye** and two broken ribs caused by a beating.

This statement can be explained in two ways.

The first, if it is true that Ms. White had a black eye on the 5th November she had caused it herself in some way and had accused Mr. Parlanti.

The second is that there were no injuries at all and so Ms. White and doctors Jeff Bivens e Dr. B. Fore del Wellness Center of South Oklahoma acted in league to set up evidence against Carlo Parlanti. It is up to the expert to check what actually occurred.

Falsehood regarding dates

As already put forward, in the course of the first complaint made on the 18th July 2002 she says to Detective Fullertone who questioned her that the events had occurred on Saturday the 6th July. This statement needs to be examined bearing in mind that of her own admission she has:

A. I have short-term memory problems.

Q And what does that mean?

A. I can better remember something that happened last week than something that happened a few minutes ago, yesterday, or the day before.

Therefore that version, which occurred between two or three weeks from the event, should be perfectly reliable. But on the 20th July Ms. White contacts the detective again to tell him that she had been looking at the calendar for a couple of hours and realised that the event had happened the week before the date she had given, that is on the 29th June 2002.

Should not the Prosecutor however have compared the third date given by Ms. White in the certificate made by Dr. Patzskow on the 21st October from Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board N. 000668.

Broken ribs

The only truth told by Ms. White is that she had a fracture to the ribs. Obviously she knew about the injury, a long time before the violence as will be seen, and she tried to blame the fractures as being a consequence of the beating she received on the night of the 29th June.

It was not gathered as evidence beyond that there was aetiology between the conduct reported and the fracture.

It seems as though the ribs were the only thing she was worried about, despite the fact that the other parts of the body had been more seriously injured (head, nipples, vagina, anus).

The word broken ribs is the one repeated many times by Ms. White, who told the police that she was going to see the doctor because her ribs were still painful (not her anus, not her nipples and not her vagina); she told Dr. Manchester that only her ribs were painful (see deposition of Manchester).

She even talks about the broken ribs on a casual meeting with the maintenance man.

Ms. White discovered this injury too quickly. In her account she says, in fact, that she realised that her ribs were broken while she was being beaten because she moaned to Mr. Parlanti that he had broken her ribs.

Ms. White is not the only to realise that her ribs were broken, even Mr. Parlanti at a certain point, according to the statement of the alleged victim, said "I have broken your ribs".

Is not possible that the fracture originated on the night of the 29th June for the reason expressed in the expert's report given by Dr. Pozzi (exhibit A) to which we refer you as it is of greater reliability than the words of the writer.

But the broken ribs come up, as has already been mentioned, even in the conversation with the maintenance man who she met on her return from the laundry and to whom "I said that I had two broken ribs". Not only did she know of her injury, some weeks before the doctor's examination, but she knew exactly that there were two broken ribs.

This complaint is made with the intention of prosecution for the crimes that the American Authorities will wish to consider completed by the exposition of the facts narrated, which require precise and immediate investigation. It must be said that there will be other complaints following this one to speed up the enquiries into any perpetration of other crimes, committed before, during and after the trial against Carlo Parlanti for the sole purpose of reaching a sentence of criminal responsibility founded on the distortion of facts and Probable fraud against the State in order to obtain privileges meant for the victims of crimes.